

Creation Driven Marketing

Integrating metadata into the production process

Accepted by New Library World, august 2007
<http://www.emeraldinsight.com/info/journals/nlw/nlw.jsp>

Joost KIRCZ

Institute for Media and Information Management (MIM)
Hogeschool van Amsterdam, Nederland
j.g.kircz@hva.nl

CATEGORY: Viewpoint paper

Abstract

Purpose

The vision defended in this paper is that the creator of an electronic product has an essential capability to position the product in the market by clever integration of metadata in the creation process itself.

Design

The paper starts with a description of the landscape, followed by an analysis of the notion metadata. Subsequently, the production of metadata and the rôle of the creator is discussed. The paper concludes that by cleverly coding the semantic information during the creation process, the creator will be able to play a much larger rôle in targeting the ultimate consumer market now and in the future.

Findings

The unique force of electronic products is in the coding. On the one hand, we have the electronic object as such. It can be a plain text document, a photo, a full colour flyer, a video, a software program, a game, or even a PDF version of an old-fashioned book. The electronic object contains a great deal of coding. At present, this coding mainly describes the lay-out and structure of the electronic file and added information on rights

What is original in this paper

When the electronic object has to find its way to a consumer and becomes a product in a commercial chain, normally, and very traditionally, only the metadata added after the creation are used. The coding added in the creation of the electronic product, is hardly used and can become an important ingredient for finding information in the right context.

Introduction

What is a publisher?

Before we start talking about electronic publishing and marketing, we must have a clear view on what we call publishing. Certainly in our present day world where everybody claims to be a publisher, a librarian, a database provider and a host or portal facilitator at the same time, it is important to give clear meaning to seemingly obvious terms.

Publishing, in my definition, is the united action of a number of functions that together enable the creation, production, marketing and dissemination of a product.

The product range for information objects is wide and consists of text, sound, pictures and film. In other words: magazines, journals, books, videos, films, etc. To make things even more simple, these information objects can be novel creations but also parts of or combinations of existing items that belong to an already existing collection such as a sculpture collection of an art gallery. It is important to stress that we do not deal with the carrier of the information, be it stone, clay, punch cards, parchment, paper or a blue ray DVD.

The basic raw materials are the knowledge and emotions of (or in, we don't know) the human brain. They are explicated in language, sounds, pictures or gestures and create information. Information can be described or better denoted. Information can be handled, packaged, counted, piled and stored away into a carrier.

With the advent of electronic repositories much effort has been spent on discussion of the rôle of publishers. In particular, in the realm of academic publishing, the battle against copyright and pricing of commercial giants has become a heated discussion for over more than a decade. This leads on the one hand to an increase in journals published by university presses or university libraries and on the other hand, to the emergence of so-called self-publishing initiatives. Most noted in the latter category is the Public Library of Science (Plos) initiative in medicine (Plos, 2007). This publishing house is based on open access principles and gets its money from authors and grants. For the integrity of the discussion, we have to note that initiatives such as Plos are real publishing houses, in direct competition with other publishing houses, based on a different business model. Electronic means allow novel business models but this does not change the fundamental tenets of publishing and librarianship. The fact that such initiatives position them head-on with the traditional publishers proves that they still operate in the same social context. We now will explicate the difference between the publishing and library roles. Please keep in mind that functions can be executed by a variety of organisations, be it societies, commercial or not-for-profit companies.

The publisher's function

The publisher's rôle is to find, identify and collect interesting knowledge and emotions and subsequently have them expressed in information streams in writing, depicting or performing. Here and in the following I use the term publisher in a generic way. It could also be a film producer. The publisher is the organisational pivot around which all players - authors, performers, technicians, editors as well as production and marketing & sales staff- circulate. Firstly the publisher has to define the final result, the product, then it has to be certified as being original or unique for the creator as well as validated by endowing it with a quality stamp at some level. The information can be a treatise on lunar research in a top ranked scientific journal or on loony tunes on the moon for pre-adult entertainment. The traditional publisher's rôle subsequently entails the organisation, distribution, marketing and sales of the product. Please be aware that within this definition, we can still talk about a great variety of products, from poetry, via stock market graphics to games. In its drive to find a consumers' market, efforts are made to describe the product in such away that it dovetails with desires, wants or needs from perceived customers. Often this is called product driven, but subsequently it will become clear that I don't adhere to that wording.

The library function

At the other end of the spectrum, we have the library function. Here, I also mean the function and not the organisation or the building. The library function fulfils clear rôles in a local or domain-dependant field. It is the finding, selecting and collecting of information from a great variety of sources, fit for a well-defined user group. This user group may be small, but in the case of a public lending library, also very large. In the case of digital TV channels, we can even speak about a world-wide community of dedicated users, who view movies on demand related to a particular subject, like hockey or horror (see, e.g., Narrowstep, 2007). User groups are defined by both what might interest them, as well as by what goes beyond the span of attention. Collecting is not only a positive act but also the negative act of rejection. In order to cater for the user group, the librarian must classify its holdings according to an index or classification scheme that is explicitly tailored to (the context) of the user-group. We can then also use the term library in a generic way, describing the place where consumers find pre-selected products.

In a very blunt way, we can equate the publisher with an industrial manufacturer exploiting the brain power instead of the physical power of contracted people. The librarian can then be depicted as a shopkeeper with a well-defined supply. In the product chain, the producer-publisher adds product information and subsequently the librarian-shopkeeper adds specified consumer/customer information targeted for its own local market. In the most primitive way, a shopkeeper is doing so already, by putting the same type of products such as, games, thrillers, or socks together on the same shelf.

It goes without saying that both parties in the tug of war between the creation phase and the consumption phase try to win ground by incorporating features of each other. In scientific publishing, big repositories are created by publishers as well as university libraries who start to publish journals and books. The discussion on repositories organised by the academic community itself also reflects this mixture of functions Kircz (2005a , b).

The issue now is, in an electronic environment, to what extent is this whole process from creator to consumer fully determined by the publisher and librarian, both being intermediates between creator and consumer. In the following, we will deal with the question of how the creator him/herself can

exercise influence on this process and to what extent the new technologies enable as well as force changing patterns of long-term usage.

Metadata, the new grail?

Metadata can be defined as data about data. Instead of a product description such “*An ideal peaceful, family game for under the Xmas tree*”, which suggests that the game is solely targeted for a special occasion, we can think of more general descriptions. The idea of metadata is to create more-or-less logical systems that describe the product as well as enabling manipulation on the meta level without having to “taste” or “smell” all individual underlying items. In the world of metadata, we have to make a clear distinction between the different contexts in which metadata are relevant and useful. Let us make a first list of possible different metadata schemas to understand better where the various players in the value and product chains play a rôle. Many a scheme for metadata has been developed over the years and research endeavours in no way derive from a common approach. Hunter, (2003) provides a good survey of the latest developments. Below, I try to dissect the various types of metadata into more-or-less independent categories based on the various rôles of the people who creates and develop them. In the case of the scientific article, I refer to De Waard & Kircz (2003).

Types of metadata

1 - *Backbone*. We start with the data describing the technical and physical characteristics of the original. This entails issues like, e.g., WordPerfect or MSWord text files, PDF, etc., length in bytes, ASCII or Unicode, handwritten manuscript on parchment, using video standard X, PNG or Tiff, etc. These data are important to transcribe the original in a production environment that allows storing into, as well as rendering the information into certain media. It is obvious that if we want cross-media capabilities, these data are crucial. A Unicode file is not yet accepted by all environments, though if the creator wants longevity it is better to create in Unicode rather than ASCII. A handwritten text can be scanned. It is the quality of the scanning that defines to what extent the digital file is only representing the text or also the texture of the paper. The digital preservation discussions and arguments pertain to this category of metadata. One important function of the publisher is to guarantee proper standardisation for all works published.

2 - *Structure*. We need metadata that describe the structure of the information. This can be lay-out structures in the case of text, or for video: structural information on spatio-temporal components of the content such as scene cuts, segmentation into regions, region motion tracking, etc. Here we deal with an editorial task, which imposes standardisation on works of the same genre.

3 - *Content*. We have the content descriptors or traditional index terms, so-called keywords. Despite the pipedreams of superior success by single word manipulation in the present first generation search engines, more and more, the need for context-dependent thesauri is understood. A well-developed thesaurus with a clear formal structure between the terms is now called an ontology. As an aside, it is important to note that in ongoing ontology research, it remains very difficult to deal with non-hierarchical relations, such as the traditional “*see also*” relation. This is the real aim of professional indexers and authors (see also further on).

4 - *Administrative*. We have the data that describe the administrative, legal and personalized items. Here we have to think of the name, address, etc. of the creator and maybe also the editor, the performer in case of music, the distributor, the rights owner and as with film, the credit titles. Also in this box, we have the data that describe the actual legal rights for reproduction and use. This administrative part is crucial for the transfer of information and the linchpin between publisher and library function.

5 - *Post-production*. The metadata that are added after the creation. This can be the number of downloads, sales, but also - and very importantly - data that link the product at issue to other products. Note that here we also deal with references to new versions and updates of the same product. These products can be older or newer. This last type of metadata introduces a whole new field of indexing. In some on-line shops, like Amazon, we already see this type of metadata, created on the fly, should we be selecting a book. Immediate look-alike books are suggested, in some cases also linked to the books selected on a previous visit. The important open question is to what extent we have to keep these data and make them part of the product, in the same way as on the back-flap of a book, reprint comments from reviewers are printed. This is typically an editorial and marketing issue. Through usage of the product, new information is being produced which is essential for the understanding, and the decision for new use by a new consumer. Consider, for instance, the instructions leaflet for drugs. It contains a latest date of possible usage, but in an electronic

environment, updating pertinent useful data and metadata becomes possible and will become compulsory in this case.

6 - *Super-metadata*. Finally, after having invented all these almost independent but interrelated metadata schemas, we become badly in need of a metadata schema that enables the manipulation of all that product information. It goes without saying that this level is still a field under investigation.

Transparency

From the above, it will be clear that we need a transparent metadata language to allow talking with and about metadata. At present, the XML family serves that goal excellently. With all these descriptors, indicators and measures, we are confronted with an interesting phenomenon, unique to an electronic environment. The length in bits of the collection of all the metadata easily exceeds the size of the original work, in particular if we are dealing with text. In the case of the fully XML-tagged scientific journal articles of Elsevier, the average overhead is around 100%, with peaks of 150% (Kircz, 2007).

The beauty of this newly minted coin is on both sides. With all metadata neatly in place and contained in XML-structured files, we will be able to route a product via various ways to distinctly different platforms. In other words, a product will end up on different shelves depending on how the consumer rates the importance of certain hallmarks or features. This can be copyright free copying, certain quality levels in relation to the data (in the case of medical products), a typical combination of content descriptors (non-violence, soft colours) or just all products from brand (or creator) X.

A new type of language

In conclusion, one can say that just as humankind created and continuously developed language to exchange information on feelings, objects and desires, in the electronic era, humankind creates a new type of language called metadata which is a kind of well-organised shorthand for all that can be said about the presentation of what one feels and thinks. Thinking in regular language is a human enterprise. Thinking in metadata will become mainly a machine operation, provided creator and consumer know how to instruct the machine.

Who creates or adds metadata?

Why all the above? The easy answer to this serious question is that in an electronic environment, we are dealing with a different dynamics than in the past. An electronic product is no more a single item, despite the monumental attempts by digital rights aficionados.

Stacking metadata & rights

Electronic products are stacks of information and related rights which on each level and between each component are part of fixed as well as permanently changing relations. For the sake of clarification, let us just look at a power point presentation at a conference. First of all, we have the ideas and intellectual property rights of the creator. The speaker wants to advance an idea and therewith uses many ideas, and pictures (direct or redrawn) of others, which all in their own right might have their own intellectual rights protection. By typing the slides, the speaker uses a PC on which he/she has a licence for the operating system, a licence for Power Point, a subscription for on-line internet use, etc. At the other end of the spectrum, the consumer needs the same series of rights and adjustments in order to consume the message. It is much more complicated in background than sending a handwritten letter. Electronic communication demands an avalanche of legal, technical and conceptual adjustments, tuning, and transparent codification. No object is anymore single, all objects as well as their parts or combinations are interwoven and knotted together.

Even in colloquial inter-human electronic communications, we have left the solid and safe analogue shores of print on paper. One can say that every electronic message, commercial product or not, is completely dependent on continuously changing sets of metadata, that describe the communication as such, the logistics, the encoding and decoding, etc., and need interpretation before consumption. For the regular citizen, this has already the effect that people sheepishly buy their upgrades, new hard- and software and start believing that you cannot live without them anymore.

Luckily, in the battle between technologies, standards, hypes and must-have gadgets, there is a space where the creator is still able to influence the process. It goes without saying that this place is primarily defined by the content of the product, by the very words, pictures or sounds carefully produced by the originator. Is it not that in all electronic business models, the key reference is to what is called "creative industries" or "knowledge economy". After all, in most markets, with the financial markets as the main exception, we deal with real goods or content. However, in the alienated world of

electronic infrastructures, survival is based on metadata. As argued above, they are the descriptors that funnel, like a swarm of lubricating servants, the product from creator to consumer. As in all power games, the question now is who rules that swarm of helpers, goblins and angels alike? The bottom line has now been reached. After all, we are still trying to sell a product!

Adding metadata

In the above list of the six kinds of metadata, it is already indicated who might be the most probable author of what kind of metadata. In many cases it is straightforward, such as with the name of the author (is Stanley the first name or surname?), but in many cases, such as addresses, publishers like to standardise further than the author supplies. One reason for this is the obvious use of author's addresses for direct-mail campaigns.

In the case of content and context, they are of course the creators and editors, or in many cases marketing managers who position the work in its proper context. In the case of health sciences, an interesting experiment of metadata creation by resource authors has been reported by Crystal (2004). A crucial problem is that words and notions can have a very distinct meaning in even adjacent fields of interest. This means that every thesaurus of varying schemes for metadata can only be made as close as possible to a hierarchical (and hence simple programmable) structure if we stay within a limited context. The super-structure of metadata must ultimately look a bit like a fan on hierarchical structures; in a way colour matching schemes are presented in colour fans.

Consumption is unwrapping metadata

A product is something a consumer only experiences in usage, be it reading, eating or demolishing. The knowledge and emotions mentioned above, that we have properly wrapped into metadata will be unwrapped by the consumer. In other words, the metadata wrap or shell is essential for the ultimate capacity of consumption of the product. The beauty of an electronic environment is that, in contrast to the old days, the creator can exercise power over the meta-level of metadata.

Creator-controlled metadata

In the analogue world, in almost all cases, the publisher decides how the final product will be presented, bound or cut. The marketing is a publisher's pursuit and is closely related to perceptions of the present market. A Xmas game is published in the autumn and not in January. An author of a book is chased or put on ice depending of the seasons and the weather forecasts. A product must get immediate attention, television and radio interviews with its creator and preferably shelf-space at eye level, or otherwise be piled on the floor at a place where everybody has to pass by. This place next to the cash register is equivalent to the place on a website or in a sponsored link in a search engine. Eye-level becomes a metaphor. The creator in this case hardly plays a rôle, other than being interrogated about many aspects of his/her life, mostly with only a flimsy relationship to the work at stake, in interviews or at signing and presentation sessions.

General descriptors

How different is the world in an electronic environment. Key-word fields are cheap and every publisher wants to play safe. Even in cases where the publisher and the creator deeply disagree about the way a product must be positioned in the market, the middle ground is found by allowing or even insisting that the creator add relevant keywords to the work. But also in cases where publisher and creator are not in disagreement but are just both unsure about the possible outlets, the enveloping metadata structure allows various strategies. Even if the already-mentioned game is invented for Xmas, it is completely reasonable to add to this single target product descriptors that allow different interpretations.

For example, the traditional sentence "*An ideal family game for under the Xmas tree*" can be taken apart into the following metadata: Kind = game, Difficulty = low to average, Number of simultaneous consumers = 3-5, X rating = 0, Violence level = low, etc. This metadata taken as input for a marketing effort can also be easily interpreted as "*The solution for a rainy class outing on a camping site*". The sales possibilities then can be both: a cosy family at the fireplace and a bunch of bored youngsters in a tent. In other words: by a proper generic description, manipulating metadata can suggest a series of different potential consumer groups.

Multiple descriptors

Even more important than generic keywords as augmentation to more targeted descriptors is the possibility to have more and different description lines. A historical novel or film always has various different dramatic lines. In an electronic environment, it is very easy to work out these different lines in separated sets of metadata. One set can describe the historical context and can even relate to works of history or products that deal with the same period. Another set of metadata can deal with the clothing or the food presented, whilst yet another set deals with the psycho-analytical aspects of the characters.

Never out of print

In an electronic environment, a product has, in principle, an infinite life and never goes out of print. Infinite life can become a heavy burden as we all know from zombie and vampire films. The only way out is to re-energise periodically, not by sucking new blood from other products but by redefining the work into new and fashionable metadata. Such a new cycle can only be started if the creator, but also the publisher, is aware of the many different ways a work can be interpreted or viewed.

Out of print products now have to be searched for and most of them remain unknown, in oblivion. Simply, because nobody has time to re-consume everything again, in the hope that some old works turn out to be timeless. Here, metadata come to the rescue of the product life. Searching at the level of well-defined metadata schemes remains possible, because they deal with generic descriptors and not only with time-dependent notions or words. Take as a counter-example the usage of a search engine on digitalised books of the 19th century. Most terms and words are different from our own language, and this seriously hampers our understanding of what they are talking about. The clever creator however adds metadata to describe his/her work in a variety of ways, therewith multiplying the chance that a work is not put on ice as soon as the production costs are covered and publishers or producers happily shelve the product. In the electronic era, out-of-print becomes an out-of-print term. In principle, all works, literary, gossip, music, newsreels, scientific, etc. all remain available, not necessarily on the original publisher's website or electronic warehouse, but maybe on a consumer's website or on-line archive. Old and new works are standing side-by-side waiting for consumption. This fact, often called the long tail (Anderson, 2006), deal with the product as it is described at its creation. However, the consumption of this infinite life is determined by the understanding of its contents. An important difference is the language in which the old and the new are presented. The meaning of words changes with time and new words are constantly popping up. This again is an argument against so-called free text searching on words only. The content needs some stratified coordination. We need an understanding that is expressed in notions about the content; in other words, in metadata.

Conclusion

Creators in an analogue world are forced to follow the marketing strategies of their publishers. These strategies are determined by local and temporal financial and cultural concerns. But a work can become interesting anew or again for the same or other audiences than originally thought of. The best way to enable this is that the creator, the one who translated knowledge and emotions into information, racks his/her brain and tries to define multiple descriptors, following more general as well as just more specific aspects and adds these notions to the product. The multiple and infinite life of a product in the electronic era is highly dependent on how the creator is able to explicate its knowledge and emotions into a variety of metadata.

Note: This paper is based on an earlier version prepared for the 15th BOBCATSSS Symposium, Prague, January 29th -31st, 2007.

References:

Anderson, Chris (2006), *The Long Tail: How Endless Choice Is Creating Unlimited Demand*. Random House Business Books 2006.

Crystal, A and Greenberg, J (2004). Usability of a metadata creation application for resource authors. *Libray and Information Science Research*. Vol 27 No.2, pp.177-189.

De Waard, A and Kircz, J (2003). Metadata in Science Publishing. In: P. de Bra (ed.), *Proceedings Conferentie Informatiewetenschap 2003*. Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, 20 November 2003. CS-Report 03-11. Dept. of Math. & Comp. Sc. TUE. pp.73-84. Available: <http://www.wis.win.tue.nl/infwet03/proceedings/8/>

Hunter, Jane (2003). Working towards MetaUtopia: A Survey of Current Metadata Research. *Library Trends* Vol 52 No 2, pp. 318-344. Available (UQLibrary): http://www.itee.uq.edu.au/~ereseach/papers/2003/LibTrends_paper.pdf

Kircz, J. (2005a). 'Institutional Repositories, a new platform in Higher Education and Research' Discussion paper for the CNI-JISC-SURF conference, Amsterdam, 10-11 May 2005. Available (SURF): <http://www.surffoundation.nl/smartsite.dws?id=10581>

Kircz, J. (2005b). Making the strategic case for Institutional Repositories, a conference report. Report on the conference 'Institutional Repositories, a new platform in Higher Education and Research' . Available (SURF): <http://www.surffoundation.nl/smartsite.dws?id=10577>

Kircz, J. (2007). Personal communication with Elsevier's electronic production dept.

Narrowstep (2007). Narrowstep, the tv on the internet company. <http://www.narrowstep.com/>

PLOS (2007)- Public Library of Science. <http://www.plos.org/about/index.html>

Autobiographical note

Joost Kircz started studying chemistry and finished in molecular physics. His quest for more knowledge drove him into science publishing, which allowed him to peek into an even wider range of subjects. For more than a decade, he was publisher for the internationally renowned North-Holland Physics programme of Elsevier Science. Unable to clean his desk, he became interested in electronic storage and publishing of information. As from 1987, he has been engaged in research in that field and as from 1992 he is visiting scientist at the University of Amsterdam. Curiosity drove him out of international management tasks in order to start his own publishing research company in 1998. As from 2006, he is lector in electronic publishing at MIM. For more information please visit www.kra.nl